

# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda  
Item No.

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise,  
Tourism and the Environment

to

**Traffic and Parking Working Party  
And Cabinet Committee**

On

13<sup>th</sup> July 2009

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry, Team Leader  
(Demand Management)

---

**Experimental Traffic Regulation Order  
Various Roads Surrounding RBS Thanet Grange**

**Executive Councillor: Councillor Waite  
A Part 1 Public Agenda Item**

---

## 1. Purpose of Report

For Members to consider options regarding the prohibition of parking in Westbourne Grove, Rosary Gardens and Southbourne Grove for one hour in the morning and one hour in the afternoon.

- That the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee agree to the advertisement of a Traffic Regulation Order to prohibit parking in Westbourne Grove, Rosary Gardens and Southbourne Grove for one hour in the morning and one hour in the afternoon.
- That the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee agree to take no further action and allow unrestricted parking in these roads.

## 2. Recommendation

2.1 That the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee either agree to the advertisement of a Traffic Regulation Order to prohibit parking in Westbourne Grove, Rosary Gardens and Southbourne Grove for one hour in the morning and one hour in the afternoon.

OR

2.2 That the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee agree to take no further action and allow unrestricted parking in these roads.

### **3. Background**

3.1 Two Experimental Traffic Regulation Orders have been implemented in this area following the occupation of the RBS building in Thanet Grange. The Orders have successfully deterred all day parking the affected streets however displaced parking has occurred in adjacent areas.

3.2 During the initial 6 month period of the Order, 1 letter of support and 5 letters of objection were received, the objections are detailed in Appendix 2 but mainly relate to the displaced parking caused by the Order in adjacent roads.

3.3 If an Experimental Order is to be made permanent, the requisite process must be completed before the stated expiry date.

3.4 The Experimental Order has now expired and consideration must be given as to potential ongoing prohibition of parking in this area

### **4. Other Options**

4.1 No other options

### **5. Reasons for Recommendations**

5.1 To allow consideration of objections and comments received relating to the Experimental Order.

### **6. Corporate Implications**

6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities  
Increased customer satisfaction by considering views of those objecting and commenting on the proposals.

### **6.2 Financial Implications**

Costs to advertise the Order and requisite officer time should the advertisement of a proposal be agreed. Approximate costs £3000.00

Costs to remove the existing signage and road marking should there be no further action. Approximate costs up to £1000.00

### **6.3 Legal Implications**

The formal statutory consultative process will be undertaken should there be agreement to propose a further restriction.

### **6.4 People Implications**

Staff time will be required to undertake the works.

## 6.5 **Property Implications**

None

## 6.6 **Consultation**

Statutory consultation will be undertaken

## 7. **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – report of 2007

Appendix 2 – details of objections